

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2650 OF 2007

Sharadchandra Pancha Solanki and others .. Petitioners
v/s.

The Administrator, Union Territory, Daman
and Diu and others .. Respondents

Mr. R.M. Agarwal with Mr. G.R. Agrawal for the petitioners.
Mr. Girish Kulkarni with Ms. Swati Deshpande for the respondents.

CORAM : F.I. REBELLO AND

R.M. SAVANT, JJ.

DATED : 13TH APRIL, 2007

P.C.

Rule. Heard forthwith.

2. The case of the petitioners is that most of them have been in service from the year 1987. One of the person was in service from the year 1992. They were appointed against clear vacancies. They had moved before the Central Administrative Tribunal for seeking declaration that they are entitled for regularization of their services as they apprehend that their services would be terminated.

3. The contention of the respondents seems to be that the petitioners were earlier appointed on daily wages and from the year 2002 on contract basis.

4. The learned Tribunal was pleased to refuse the ad-interim relief pursuant to which the petitioners are before this court apprehending that their services may be terminated. Considering the facts and circumstances, in our opinion, this petition is disposed of by issuing the following directions:

- i) The petitioners if in service, their services not to be discontinued till the final order passed by the Tribunal.
- ii) The Tribunal to dispose of the petitioners' original application within two months from today.
- iii) The respondents are directed not to finalise the appointment pursuant to the advertisement till the decision of the Tribunal.

4. Rule made absolute accordingly. No order as to costs.

5. Needless to say that we have not decided the merits of the matter. We have kept all the questions open for consideration before the Tribunal.

(F.I. REBELLO, J.)

(R.M. SAVANT, J.)